

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

## **2018 Labor and Employment Seminar**

Paul J. Zech
Felhaber Larson
220 South 6th Street, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55402



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

# Personnel Policies Under the New NLRB



## Summary

- New NLRB rules on handbooks
- Focus on specific policies
  - Social media
  - Workplace behavior and civility
  - Confidentiality
- Other specific developments
  - Joint Employer
  - Picketing
  - Union organizing and solicitation



# What is the NLRA/NLRB?

- NLRA impacts union and non-union workplaces
  - "other concerted activities for the purpose of . . .
     mutual aid or protection."
- NLRB oversees and enforces labor law.
- Both protect and govern union and non-union workplaces.



## **Board Composition**



Lauren McFerran (D)

Member
Term Expiration – December 16, 2019



Marvin Kaplan (R)

Member
Term Expiration – August 27, 2020



William Emanuel (R)

Member
Term Expiration – August 27, 2021



John Ring (R)
Chair
Term Expiration December 16, 2022



Peter Robb (R)
General Counsel
Confirmed November 8, 2017
4-year term



#### **EMPLOYEE HANDBOOKS**

- Handbook Interpretation (GC 18-04)
  - Ambiguities in handbook rules are no longer interpreted against the drafter.
  - General provisions interpreted narrowly, not as banning all activity that "could conceivably be" included.



#### **EMPLOYEE HANDBOOKS**

- Handbook Interpretation (GC 18-04)
  - A neutral rule does not render protected activity unprotected.
  - Application of facially neutral rule against employees engaged in protected activity remains unlawful.



#### **EMPLOYEE HANDBOOKS**

## Takeaway:

 Current NLRB will not scrutinize handbook and personnel policies as closely as the Obama-era Board.



## O Background:

The Boeing Company, 365 NLRB No. 154 (DEC. 14, 2017) created new test for interpreting lawfulness of policy.



- **Previous** test: a workplace policy was unlawful if an employee "would reasonably construe" the rule to restrict protected concerted activity.
- New Boeing test: balance two factors to determine legality:
  - 1. The rule's potential impact on protected concerted activity; and
  - 2. The employer's legitimate business justification(s) for the rule.



- GC Memo 18-04
  - Provided clarity regarding NLRB's view on many handbook provisions
  - Created three categories of rules:
    - Category 1: generally lawful rules
    - Category 2: case-by-case scrutiny of rule
    - Category 3: generally unlawful rules



- Category 1 Rules
  - 9 "generally lawful" policies
    - **1. Civility**: Can prohibit disparagement of *other employees*.
    - 2. No Photography/Audio/Video Recording: Can prohibit employees from using camera or recording device at work (does not mean employers can ban all phone use/possession).



- Category 1 Rules
  - **3. Insubordination**: Can prohibit employee insubordination or improper conduct that adversely affect operations.
  - **4. Disruptive Behavior**: Can ban conduct causing disruptions during work hours (not including strikes or walkouts).



- Category 1 Rules
  - **5. Protecting Confidentiality**: Can prohibit disclosure of proprietary, confidential, and customer information.
  - **6. Defamation or Misrepresentation**: Can prohibit communications that are defamatory or that misrepresent company products, services, or employees.



- Category 1 Rules
  - 7. Use of Employer Logos: Can ban employee use of company logo for non-business purposes.
  - 8. Employer Authorization to Speak for Company: Can ban employees from speaking for company in person or on social media.
  - **9. Disloyalty, Nepotism, or Self-enrichment**: Can prohibit employees from competing with, exploiting position with, or interfering with company.



- Category 2 Rules
  - These rules are evaluated on case by case basis – "individualized scrutiny"
  - Balance employee v. employer interests



#### Category 2 Rules

- Examples:
  - Broad Conflict-Of-Interest Rules: Where the rules do not specifically target fraud and self-enrichment, they will be scrutinized.
  - Confidentiality Rules: Broad rules encompassing "employer business" or all "employee information" may infringe on protected Section 7 rights.
  - Anti-Disparagement Rules: Rules regarding disparagement or criticism of the *employer* should be scrutinized (unlike criticism of employees).



# Category 2 Rules

- Rules Regulating Use of the Employer's Name: these rules will be highly scrutinized (unlike using company's logo, which may be protected).
- Rules Prohibiting Speaking to the Media: Will be scrutinized (unlike speaking on employer's behalf, which can be banned).



- Category 2 Rules
  - Off-Duty Conduct Rules: Will depend on which conduct is being regulated.
  - Rules Generally Prohibiting False Statements: Will be highly scrutinized (unlike rules against defamatory statements, which are lawful).



- Category 3 Rules
  - Presumptively Unlawful
  - o Examples:
    - Cannot prohibit disclosure of wage, salary, benefit, working conditions.
    - Cannot prohibit joining outside organizations (i.e. unions).



## Takeaways

- These interpretations and rule changes represent a dramatic change.
- Several of these now-lawful rules were expressly banned under previous Board interpretations.
  - Examples: Photo/video recording at work; use of employer logo; confidentiality.



#### **Social Media -- NLRB Position:**

- Federal law give all employees the right to join together online.
- Using social media can be a form of "protected concerted" activity.
- Employees have right to address work-related issues and share information about pay, benefits, and working conditions with coworkers online.



#### **Social Media --** NLRB Position:

- But, individually griping about some aspect of work is not "concerted activity"
- To be protected, social media activity must have some relation to group action, or seek to initiate, induce, or prepare for group action, or bring a group complaint to the attention of management.



#### **Social Media**

- North West Rural Electric Coop., 366 NLRB No. 132 (July 19, 2018).
  - Employee fired for posting on Facebook group comments critical of employer's safety practices.
  - Employer cited "conduct" and "attitude" policies as rationale.
  - NLRB sided with employee, holding that neutral policies cannot be applied to suppress worker rights.



#### **Workplace Behavior and Civility**

- Mexican Radio Corp., 366 NLRB No. 65 (2018):
  - Employee quit by sending profane, "opprobrious" email to coworkers, management, and owners about employer's management style and business practices.
  - Four employees responded to group email in agreement to the complaints.
  - These four employees were each interviewed and terminated for violating company insubordination and behavior policies.



#### **Workplace Behavior and Civility**

- Mexican Radio Corp., 366 NLRB No. 65 (2018):
  - NLRB:
    - Employees engaged in protected activity by responding to the emails
    - Emails were private and did not harm company reputation or cause disruption.
    - Employer unlawfully applied neutral workplace policy to terminate employees.



#### **Workplace Behavior and Civility**

- Examples of lawful civility policies:
  - "Conduct that is inappropriate or detrimental to patient care or hospital operation, or that impedes harmonious interactions and relationships, will not be tolerated."
  - "Behavior that is rude, condescending, or otherwise socially unacceptable is prohibited."
  - "Disparaging the company's employees is prohibited."



## Confidentiality

- Dura-Line Corp., 366 NLRB No. 126 (2018)
  - Employer forced to close plant and relocate. Sought to transfer several employees to new location.
  - Employer presented non-disclosure agreement (NDA) to selected employees, which prohibited sharing of "confidential information" with third parties.
  - Confidential information included relocation plans, wages, or job at new plans.



#### Confidentiality

- Dura-Line Corp., 366 NLRB No. 126 (2018)
  - Employee challenged NDA as intended to prevent union activity.
  - NLRB upheld NDA.
  - Held that employer had legitimate concerns about controlling timing and disclosure of relocation news.



#### Confidentiality

- Examples of lawful confidentiality policies:
  - "Information concerning customers shall not be disclosed, directly or indirectly, or used in any way."
  - "Do not disclose confidential financial data, or other non-public proprietary company information. Do not share confidential information regarding business partners, vendor, or customers."



#### BUT, confidentiality has its limits.

- Costco Wholesale Corp., No. 366 NLRB No. 9 (2018):
  - After workplace altercation, Costco conducted employee interviews.
  - Costco told employee not to "have any conversations with anyone else pertaining to this incident."
  - NLRB: Employer must demonstrate its need for confidentiality outweighs harm to employee's rights.
    - Costco did not explain need, so violated NLRA.



#### BUT, confidentiality has its limits.

- Colorado Symphony Ass'n, 366 NLRB No. 122 (2018):
  - Employer insisted that union sign a confidentiality agreement that included a monetary damages clause.
  - Union objected to monetary damages clause.
  - NLRB:
    - Damage clause unlawful because employer had no basis for believing union would violate agreement.
    - Therefore, not reasonable to dispel confidentiality concerns.



#### Joint Employer

- New rule proposed September 2018
- "An employer may be considered a joint employer of a separate employer's employees only if the two employers share or codetermine the employees' essential terms and conditions of employment, such as hiring, firing, discipline, supervision, and direction.
- A putative joint employer must possess and actually exercise substantial direct and immediate control over the employees' essential terms and conditions of employment in a manner that is not limited and routine."



#### Joint Employer

- Proposed rule intended to "foster predictability, consistency and stability."
- Rule is very rigid.
- Even "direct and immediate" control may not satisfy standard if the control is limited in scope and does not include "essential terms."
- Rule currently in comment period.



#### Picketing

- Ortiz Janitorial Services, 366 NLRB No. 159 (2018):
  - Janitors employed by subcontractor to provide cleaning services for company. They picketed at company's building, which was managed by thirdparty.
  - Janitors sought increased wages and remediation of harassment.
  - NLRB held the protests were "secondary activities" not protected by NLRA.



#### Picketing

- Ortiz Janitorial Services, 366 NLRB No. 159 (2018):
  - Board found that object of protests was the building manager, not just employer.
  - Goal was to disrupt business relationship between them.
  - Takeaway: NLRB taking close look at "secondary picketing" and picketing sites where multiple companies share office space.



# Picketing

- Capital Medical Ctr., 2018 U.S. App. LEXIS 22395 (D.C. Cir. Aug, 10, 2018):
- Off-duty hospital employees picketing at non-emergency hospital entrance.
- No chanting, marching, or obstruction.
- NLRB held, and DC Circuit affirmed, that picketing was lawful because hospital could not show that removal of picketers was necessary to prevent patient disturbance.



# Picketing

- NLRB GC Memo 18-02 in December 2017.
- Signaled intent to revisit off-duty picketing issue.
- May expand employer rights to control picketing on property, particularly where employer has legitimate safety interest (i.e. hospital's interest in patient care).



- Union organizing and member solicitation
  - <u>UPMC</u>, 366 NLRB No. 142 (2018):
    - Employer's solicitation and distribution policy prohibited off-duty employees from soliciting or being solicited in non-work areas during non-work time.
    - NLRB struck down policy because it banned union activity without being necessary to avoid disruption of healthcare operations.



- Union organizing and member solicitation
  - Seven Seas Union Square, LLC, 2018 NLRB LEXIS 79 (2018):
    - ALJ decision. Employee challenged employer's rules prohibiting workplace solicitation, loitering, and political activities.
    - ALJ:
      - Non-solicitation rule is a category-3 practice and unlawful.
      - No-politics rule is a category-2 rule and unlawful, since "'politics' certainly incorporates . . . protected activity."
      - Loitering rule is a category-2 rule and lawful.



- Repudiating Unlawful Policy
- TBC Corp., 367 NLRB No. 18 (2018):
  - Employer issued a handbook policy that banned solicitation on company property during non-work hours.
  - Policy was unlawfully overbroad, and employer voluntarily changed policy by distributing and posting a notice to employees at all stores of the repudiated policy.
  - ALJ found employer's repudiation ineffective because it did not explain the reasons for changing the policy.



- Repudiating Unlawful Policy
- TBC Corp., 367 NLRB No. 18 (2018):
  - NLRB reversed, holding there was no violation.
  - NLRB explained:
    - Employer's are not required to explain why they are repudiating an unlawful policy. It is sufficient for employers to amend the handbook and notify the affected employees of the new rules.
  - Takeaway: Company can save time and money by clearly following NLRB notice procedures for repudiating unlawful policy.



# **FORECASTED CHANGES**

- Workplace computer use for personal purpose
  - NLRB issued notice and invitation to file briefs in <u>Caesars</u>
     <u>Entertainment Corp.</u>
    - Case seeks to overrule *Purple Communications, Inc.* standard governing whether and how employers can prohibit use of its computer resources (i.e. emails) to send non-business information.
    - A finding for Caesars would allow employers to impose Section 7-neutral restrictions.



# **FORECASTED CHANGES**

- GC recently released four Obama-era GC advice memos.
- May signal current Board's plan to address these issues.
- Issues include employer's ability to:
  - direct worker to take off union t-shirt;
  - prohibit night-shift workers form wearing union insignia;
  - permanently replace striking workers;
  - take photos of union solidarity marches
- Also includes difference between legal on-site work stoppage and illegal sit down strike.



# **SUMMARY**

- New NLRB is taking employer-friendly stances on many workplace issues.
- This may change the way that employers create and enforce workplace personnel policies.
- Specifically, employers have more leeway in crafting policies that ban "category 1" activities.



# **SUMMARY**

#### However:

- Employers must closely tailor the policies to workplace needs.
- Overbroad policies may be deemed unlawful.
- Employers must neutrally enforce the policies to avoid violating employee rights.
- Social media activities still closely monitored and may be deemed protected activity depending on the content and platform.



# **SUMMARY**

- Follow NLRB decisions to see how Board interprets and applies the personnel policy rules discussed in the GC Memos.
- Forecasted changes coming for:
  - Joint employer rule
  - Workplace computers for personal purpose
  - Workplace clothing and insignia
  - Camera and photo use
  - Picketing



# **PRACTICE TIPS**

- 1. Review current personnel policies to identify rules that could be strengthened to protect legitimate employer interests.
- Rewrite policies that run the risk of being overbroad. Tailor each rule to interests that NLRB has deemed valid. Consider including examples of prohibited behavior to avoid risk of overbreadth.
- 3. Ensure no rules prohibit arguably protected activity under the NLRA. This includes rules on private off-duty email, social media use, and conduct.
- 4. Train management, supervisors, and human resources on how to deal with employee disputes. This will safeguard a company from the risk that policies are applied unequally and deemed invalid.



# **PRACTICE TIPS**

- 5. Outline clear procedures for reporting workplace violations. Work with different levels of company to ensure that reporting mechanisms will be utilized and effective.
- 6. Include conspicuous disclaimers in the handbook. This may include disclaimers that the handbook is not a contract, contains no guarantees, and may be amended by the employer at any time without company. This may require union approval.



# **QUESTIONS?**

Thank you!